

3.5 Product Centres

3.5.1 Earth Orientation Centre

This section presents over the year 2019 the activities and main results of the Earth Orientation Centre located at Paris Observatory.

According to the IERS Terms of Reference, this component is responsible for monitoring Earth Rotation Parameters (ERPs) including long term consistency, publications for time dissemination (DUT1) and leap second announcements. The ERPs: Pole coordinates (x,y), Difference UT1–UTC, Length of Day variation (LOD) and Celestial pole offsets (dX, dY) are available to a broad community of users in various domains such as astronomy, geodesy, geophysics, space sciences and time. Firstly, the ERPs are collected in the form of combined solutions derived by the Technique Centres (IGS, IVS, ILRS and IDS). Two main solutions are computed: a long-term solution (IERS C01) since 1846 until the end of the previous year and the Bulletin B / C04 given at one-day intervals, which is published monthly with a 30 day delay (Bizouard et al., 2018).

Monitoring of the geophysical fluid excitation of the polar motion and length-of-day

In this regard, our service maintain and improve since years an interactive tool (<http://iers.obspm.fr/eop-pc/index.php?index=excitactive&lang=en>) completing the products of the Global Geophysical Fluid Centre (GGFC) of the IERS. It allows to know, with a delay of at most 24 hours, to what extent the hydro-atmospheric excitation accounts for polar motion and length of days. This analysis can be made since the 1950's. As it gives the equatorial geodetic excitation corresponding to C04 pole coordinates, it has been the support of many published papers.

C04 series updates

- For UT1, the C04 solution was recalculated over the whole year 2018 and for the first months of 2019 due to the presence of a spurious bias over this period (MJD 58119–MJD 58560). The previous version, updated until 2019/12/27, has been put in the sub-directory /eopc04.2018.
- For deriving the last 30 days of the C04 solution (non-official IERS values, only for national use), we have introduced underweighted Ultra-rapid IGS values (x, y, LOD) at 0hUTC. This allows us to reduce the instability of the x pole coordinate for the last day of the solution.

Web site upgrade In the web page devoted to numerical analysis of the combined and intra-technique ERP time series <http://iers.obspm.fr/eop-pc/index.php?index=excitactive&lang=en>, we offer now the possibility to derive the parameter TT – UT1.

Statistics Statistics of 14 C04 for the year 2019 are provided in Table 1 (standard deviations of C04 – intra-techniques solutions), Table 2 (mean and standard deviations of C04 – intra-technique combined solutions) and Table 3 (mean and standard deviations of C04 – multi-technique combined solutions). Those results can be reproduced on line from <http://iers.obspm.fr/eop-pc/index.php?index=operational&lang=en>, and extended to any other time interval covered by the ERPs series.

Table 1: *Intra-techniques solutions: averaged time sampling and standard deviation with respect to the combined solutions Bulletin B / C04 over the year 2019. Solutions contributing to Bulletin B / C04 are flagged by stars (*).*

| Intra-techniques solutions | Estimated uncertainties | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------|-----|----------------|
| | Time sampling | Terrestrial Pole | UT1 | LOD | Celestial Pole |
| | | μas | μs | | μas |
| VLBI - 24h | | | | | |
| EOP(AUS) | ~365 d | 111 | 111 | | 111 |
| EOP(BKG) | ~7 d | 155 | 10.4 | | 90 |
| EOP(GSFC) | ~2 d | 189 | 8.4 | | 151 |
| EOP(IAA) | ~4 d | 114 | 8.5 | | 70 |
| EOP(USNO) | ~3 d | 59 | 6.8 | | 53 |
| EOP(IVS Comb*) | ~3 d | 77.5 | 6.5 | | 64 |
| VLBI - Intensive | | | | | |
| EOP(BKG) | ~1 d | | 23.4 | | |
| EOP(GSFC) | ~1 d | | 26.8 | | |
| EOP(IAA*) | ~1 d | | 75.7 | | |
| EOP(PUL) | ~1 d | | 14.0 | | |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|------|-----|-----|--|
| EOP(USNO*) | ~1 d | | 7.7 | | |
| SLR | | | | | |
| EOP(MCC) | ~1 d | 212 | | 208 | |
| EOP(IAA) | ~1 d | 189 | | 27 | |
| EOP(ASI) | ~1 d | 197 | | 54 | |
| EOP(ILRS Comb*) | ~1 d | 134 | | 23 | |
| GPS | | | | | |
| EOP(CODE) | ~1 d | 34 | | 12 | |
| EOP(JPL) | ~1 d | 37 | | 20 | |
| EOP(GFZ) | ~1 d | 42.5 | | 13 | |
| EOP(ESOC) | ~1 d | 37 | | 14 | |
| EOP(NOAA) | ~7 d | 53 | | 12 | |
| EOP(SIO) | ~1 d | 71 | | 26 | |
| EOP(EMR) | ~1 d | 48 | | 14 | |
| EOP(GRGS) | ~1 d | 37.5 | | 11 | |
| EOP(CGS) | ~1 d | 48.5 | | | |
| EOP(IGS-R Comb*) | ~1 d | 31.5 | | 10 | |
| EOP(IGS-F Comb*) | ~1 d | 32 | | 12 | |
| DORIS | | | | | |
| EOP(INASAN) | ~-365 d | 12 | | | |
| EOP(IDS Comb*) | ~-365 d | 12 | | | |

Table 2: Mean and standard deviation (STD) in microarcsecond of the differences between intra-techniques combined solutions entering the combination and Bulletin B / C04 over 2019.

| EOP | IGS Comb – IERS 14C04 | | ILRS Comb – IERS 14C04 | | IVS Comb – IERS 14C04 | | IDS Comb – IERS 14C04 | |
|----------------|--------------------------|-----|---------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----|
| | Mean | Std | Mean | Std | Mean | Std | Mean | Std |
| X(μas) | 25 | 34 | 40 | 128 | -29 | 73 | -29 | 73 |
| Y(μas) | -10 | 30 | 37 | 140 | -117 | 95 | -117 | 95 |
| UT1(μs) | | | | | 1.8 | 7.8 | | |
| LOD(μs) | 0 | 30 | -1 | 23 | | | | |
| dX(μas) | | | | | 29 | 46 | | |
| dY(μas) | | | | | 42 | 82 | | |

Table 3: Mean and standard deviation for Pole coordinates (x,y) and UT1 of the differences between combined solutions derived by both the Rapid Service/Prediction Centre at USNO, the JPL and Bulletin B / C04 over 2019.

| EOP | Unit | Bul A – Bul B | | Comb JPL – Bul B | |
|-----|----------|---------------|------|------------------|------|
| | | Mean | Std | Mean | Std |
| X | μas | 26 | 48 | -11 | 58 |
| Y | μas | 2.2 | 18.0 | 13 | 43 |
| UT1 | μs | 2.2 | 18.0 | -1.6 | 15.1 |

Earth Orientation Centre staff

Christian Bizouard, Astronomer, head
 Olivier Becker, Engineer, operational work and automation
 Teddy Carlucci, Engineer, system
 Jean Yves Richard, Engineer, EOP consistency with ITRF
 Pascale Baudoin, Secretary
 Sébastien Lambert, Assistant-Astronomer, Consultant, representative
 of the IERS EOC

References <http://iers.obspm.fr/eop-pc/>

Bizouard C, Lambert S, Gattano C, Becker O and J Y Richard (2018),
 The IERS EOP 14C04 solution for Earth orientation parameters
 consistent with ITRF 2014, J Geod (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-018-1186-3>

- Bizouard C and D. Gambis (2009), The combined solution C04 for Earth Orientation Parameters, recent improvements, Springer Verlag series, Series International Association of Geodesy Symposia, Vol. 134, Drewes, Hermann (Ed.), pp. 265–270, DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-00860-3
- Gambis D (2004), Monitoring Earth Orientation at the IERS using space-geodetic observations. J. of Geodesy 78, pp. 295–303
- Gambis D and Luzum B (2011), Earth rotation monitoring, UT1 determination and prediction, Metrologia 48 (2011) S165–S170

Christian Bizouard