List of Figures

1 Time series plots of the 39 special handling sources. . . . 19
2 Time series plots of the 39 special handling sources – continued. . . . 20
3 Time series plots of the 39 special handling sources – continued. . . . 21
4 Time series plots of the 39 special handling sources – continued. . . . 22
5 Time series plots of the 39 special handling sources – continued. . . . 23
6 Time series plots of the 39 special handling sources – continued. . . . 24
7 Time series plots of the 39 special handling sources – continued. . . . 25
8 Time series plots of the 39 special handling sources – continued. . . . 26
9 Time series plots of the 39 special handling sources – continued. . . . 27
10 Time series plots of the 39 special handling sources – continued. . . . 28
11 Correspondence between the discrete structure index defined by Fey & Charlot [1997], plotted in blue, and the continuous structure index from Equation 1, plotted in red. . 31
12 Distribution of the mean structure index for 701 sources with VLBI images available from the USNO Radio Reference Frame Image Database or the Bordeaux VLBI Image Database. The special handling sources discussed in §4 are color-coded in red. . . . 31
13 Differences between a TRF and a baseline solution. Sources with formal errors greater than 0.6 mas are not plotted. . . . 40
14 Differences between solving for gradients with an *a priori* mean gradient applied versus no mean gradient applied and using weak gradient constraints. Sources with formal errors greater than 0.6 mas are not plotted. . . . 42
15 Differences between using the Niell Mapping Function (NMF) versus the Vienna Mapping Function (VMF1), in formal error units. . . . 43
16 Solutions with and without the VCS sessions. Sources with fewer than four observations or formal errors greater than 4 mas are not plotted. . . . 45
17 Differences between applying antenna thermal deformation and not applying antenna thermal deformation, in formal error units. . . . 46
18 Histograms of declination and Right Ascension differences (scaled by sigmas) between estimates from the two decimation solutions. . . . 59
19 Declination and Right Ascension noise for each 15 degree declination band in each solution derived from differences between positions in the two decimation solutions (solid circles). The average noise for the solution differences gsf08b - usn10b (open circles) and for gsf08b - iaa008c (solid triangles) are shown for comparison. . . . 60
20 Formal error scaling factor for declination and Right Ascension (solid circles). Also shown is the residual scaling factor after applying a uniform average scaling factor of 1.5 to the formal uncertainties followed by a root-sum-square addition of 40 μas (open triangles). . . . 60
21 Wrms noise (solid circles) for subsets of 50 sources in each solution as a function of the minimum number of sessions a source was observed. The median formal uncertainty (red triangles) in each subset is shown for comparison. These were derived from differences between positions in the two decimation solutions. . . . 62
22 Error scaling factor (solid black circles) for each subset of 50 sources in each solution as a function of the minimum number of sessions a source was observed. The residual scaling factor (red triangles) after application of a scale factor of 1.5 to the formal uncertainties followed by a root-sum-square increase of 40 μas. . . . 62
23 70-day-median smoothed X pole difference w.r.t. IGS (igs00p03.erp) . . . 64
24 70-day-median smoothed Y pole difference w.r.t. IGS (igs00p03.erp) . . . 64
25 70-day-median smoothed dX nutation differences w.r.t. IERS 05C04 . . . 65
26 70-day-median smoothed dY nutation differences w.r.t. IERS 05C04 . . . 65
27 70-day-median smoothed UT1−UTC differences w.r.t. IERS 05C04 . . . 65
28 Position differences gsf008a−VTRF2008 at epoch 2000.0 . . . 68
29 Velocity differences gsf008a−VTRF2008 . . . 68
30 Height differences gsf008a−VTRF2008 at epoch 2000.0 . . . 69
31 Position differences gsf008a−ITRF2005 at epoch 2000.0 . . . 69

32 Velocity differences gsf008a−ITRF2005 70
33 Quantities r and d vs. the declination. 74
34 Distribution of the final quality index p. 74
35 Axes stability and average declination of various subsets of sources of increasing size tested on annual catalogs. 76
36 Axes stability and average declination of various subsets of sources of increasing size checked on randomly-selected subsets. 76
37 Source structure index vs. stability index p. 77
38 Defining sources' distribution in declination (top), in stability index (bottom-left), and in structure index when available (bottom-right). 78
39 Distribution of the defining sources. 78
40 Distribution of the 295 defining sources (blue circles), of the 138 used for linking ICRF2 to ICRF1-Ext.2 (red diamonds). The 97 ICRF2 defining sources that are also defining sources of the ICRF1 are marked with green squares. 79
41 Distribution of formal errors of the defining, common and linking sources before inflation, after inflation, and of the corresponding errors in the ICRF1-Ext.2. 80
42 gsf008a distribution of 1448 multi-session sources (at least 2 observing sessions). The un-inflated 1-σ formal declination errors are color coded according to the legend in the figure. The median $\sigma_\delta = 175$ μas. The center is $(\alpha, \delta) = (0, 0)$. The Galactic plane is the roughly Ω-shaped line surrounding the center. The ecliptic plane is the dashed line. The single-session survey sources used to densify are shown in the next figure, Figure 43. 86
43 gsf008a survey distribution of 1966 single-session sources. The un-inflated 1-σ formal declination errors are color coded according to the legend in the figure. The median $\sigma_\delta = 751$ μas. The center is $(\alpha,\delta)=(0,0)$. The Galactic plane is the roughly Ω-shaped line surrounding the center. The ecliptic plane is the dashed line. 86
44 gsf008a catalogue's dependence of un-inflated $\sigma_{\alpha\cos(\delta)}$ on the number of observations for sources observed in at least two sessions. A slope of -0.5 would correspond to $1/\sqrt{N_{obs}}$ averaging of white noise. Calibrator survey's ≈ 2000 single-session densifying sources are not shown. 87
45 gsf008a catalogue's dependence of un-inflated σ_δ on the number of observations for sources observed in at least two sessions. A slope of -0.5 would correspond to $1/\sqrt{N_{obs}}$ averaging of white noise. Calibrator survey's ≈ 2000 single-session densifying sources are not shown. 87
46 gsf008a catalogue's distribution of the number of observing sessions per source for sources with at least two sessions. The median number of sessions per source is 7 excluding the set of ≈ 2000 single-session densifying sources (not shown) from calibrator surveys. 88
47 gsf008a catalogue's distribution of the number of group delay measurements plotted on a log scale for sources observed in at least two sessions. Note the strong peak near 100 observations. Calibrator survey's ≈ 2000 single-session densifying sources are not shown. 88
48 gsf008a catalogue's distribution of mean observing epoch for sources observed in at least two sessions. Calibrator survey's ≈ 2000 single-session densifying sources are not shown. 89
49 gsf008a catalogue's distribution of first observing epoch for sources observed in at least two sessions. Calibrator survey's ≈ 2000 single-session densifying sources are not shown. 89
50 gsf008a catalogue's distribution of last observing epoch for sources observed in at least two sessions. Calibrator survey's ≈ 2000 single-session densifying sources are not shown. 90
51 gsf008a catalogue's distribution of observing span for each source which was observed in at least two sessions. The observation spans are very unevenly distributed from zero to 30 years with a median of about 12 years Calibrator survey's ≈ 2000 single-session densifying sources are not shown. 90